

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/580,689	Applicant(s) MARIA, ARTURO	
	Examiner CARL COLIN	Art Unit 2136	

All Participants:

(1) CARL COLIN.

(2) Michael J. Donohue.

Date of Interview: 12 September 2008

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

36-38 and 44

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner contacted Applicant's Representative, Michael Donahue to correct some claim informalities and more particularly point out the claimed invention of claim 44. Authorization was given by Applicant's Representative to the Examiner to do so by Examiner's amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Carl Colin/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2136

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

